BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probat |) tion) | | |
|--|------------|------------------------|---|
| Against: |) | | |
| |) | | |
| |) | | |
| WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D. |) | File No. D1-1998-9260' | 7 |
| |) | • | |
| Physician's and Surgeon's |) | | |
| Certificate No. A-32530 |) | | |
| |) | | |
| Respondent |) | | |
| | | | |

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on **September 4, 2007**.

IT IS SO ORDERED August 3, 2007.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslaveky, Chair Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

| | * | |
|----|--|--|
| 1 | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General | |
| 2 | of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT | |
| 3 | Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375 | |
| 4 | Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice | |
| 5 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 | |
| 6 | 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2543 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | |
| 8 | BEFORE T DIVISION OF MEDIC | |
| 9 | MEDICAL BOARD OF DEPARTMENT OF CON | F CALIFORNIA |
| 10 | STATE OF CAL | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the First Amended Acquisition | Cose No. D1 1009 02607 |
| 12 | In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation Against: | Case No. D1-1998-92607 |
| 13 | WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D. | OAH No. L-1999120407 |
| 14 | 31 Morningwood Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER |
| 15 | Physician & Surgeon Certificate No. A 32530 | , |
| 16 | Respondent. | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | atter, consistent with the public interest and |
| 20 | the responsibility of the Division of Medical Quality | |
| 21 | Department of Consumer Affairs, the parties hereby | |
| 22 | and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the | ne Division for approval and adoption as the |
| 23 | final disposition of the Accusation | |
| 24 | <u>PARTIE</u> | - |
| 25 | • | ant) is the Executive Director of the Medical |
| 26 | Board of California. He brought this action solely in | |
| 27 | this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney Gene | ral of the State of California, by E. A. Jones |
| 20 | III, Deputy Attorney General. | |

2. Respondent Walter J. Ledergerber, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Albert Garcia, whose address is Law Offices, 1995 University Avenue, Suite 265, Berkeley, CA 94704.

3. On or about July 1, 1978, the Medical Board of California issued Physician & Surgeon Certificate No. A 32530 to Walter J. Ledergerber, M.D. (Respondent). The Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. D1-1998-92607 and will expire on June 30, 2008, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-92607 was filed before the Division of Medical Quality (Division) for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on March 9, 2005. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-92607 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-92607. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

4

CULPABILITY

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in paragraphs 22 through 24, 38 through 40, 51 through 53, paragraphs 65 through 67 and paragraph 68 in First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D1-1998-92607.

9. Respondent agrees that his Physician & Surgeon Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

10. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

PROHIBITED PRACTICE

11. Respondent suffered a cerebral vascular accident (stroke) in May 2004. Consequently, Respondent agrees that during and after probation, he will not perform plastic or cosmetic surgery or any other type of surgery under his certificate as a physician and surgeon issued by the Medical Board of California. Respondent further agrees that a violation of this paragraph would constitute unprofessional conduct and a basis for disciplinary action by the Medical Board of California.

CONTINGENCY

12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his
agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon
it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated
Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall
be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified
from further action by having considered this matter.

- 13. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

issued to Respondent Walter J. Ledergerber, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed. Within 180 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall undergo a Medical evaluation by a Division-appointed physician who shall consider any information provided by the Division or designee, and any other information the evaluating physician deems relevant, and shall furnish a Medical report to the Division or its designee. Respondent shall pay the cost of the Medical evaluation. Respondent shall not practice medicine until notified in writing that he has successfully completed the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program and Medical Record Keeping Course at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, as more fully set forth in Terms 1 and 2 below.

Following the evaluation, if respondent is found to be physically incapable of resuming the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, dissolve the stay and revoke respondent's physician and surgeon certificate to practice medicine in the State of California.

Following the evaluation, if Respondent is found medically fit to practice

medicine safely, Respondent will be placed on probation for seven years starting from the date of the Medical Board's written notice to Respondent of the results of the evaluation, on the following terms and conditions.

1. PHYSICIAN ASSESSMENT AND CLINICAL EDUCATION

PROGRAM Within 60 days from the effective date of this decision or within 60 days of receiving notice pursuant to paragraph 4 that respondent is medically fit to practice medicine safely, whichever is later, respondent, at his expense, shall enroll in the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (hereinafter the "PACE Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to respondent's specialty or sub-specialty (otolaryngology), and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of otolaryngology and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation.

Respondent shall not practice medicine until respondent has successfully completed the Program and its medical record keeping course, as set forth in Term 2 below, and has been so notified by the Division or its designee in writing, except that respondent may practice in a clinical training program approved by the Division or its designee. Respondent's practice of medicine shall be restricted only to that which is required by the approved training program.

If respondent fails to complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the Division or its designee that respondent failed to complete the clinical training program.

2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision or within 60 days of receiving notice pursuant to paragraph 4 that respondent is medically fit to practice medicine safely, whichever is later, respondent shall enroll in the course in Medical record keeping offered by the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine PACE Program, at respondent's expense. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A Medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Division or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>PROHIBITED PRACTICE</u> During probation, respondent is prohibited from performing plastic or cosmetic surgery or any other type of surgery. After the effective date of this Decision, the first time that a patient seeking the prohibited services makes an

appointment, respondent shall orally notify the patient that respondent does not perform plastic or cosmetic surgery or any other type of surgery. Respondent shall maintain a log of all patients to whom the required oral notification was made. The log shall contain the: 1) patient's name, address and phone number; 2) patient's medical record number, if available; 3) the full name of the person making the notification; 4) the date the notification was made; and 5) a description of the notification given. Respondent shall keep this log in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order, shall make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the Division or its designee, and shall retain the log for the entire term of probation. Failure to maintain a log as defined in the section, or to make the log available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises during business hours is a violation of probation.

In addition to the required oral notification, after the effective date of this

Decision, the first time that a patient who seeks the prohibited services presents to respondent,
respondent shall provide a written notification to the patient stating that respondent does not
perform plastic or cosmetic surgery or any other type of surgery. Respondent shall maintain a
copy of the written notification in the patient's file, shall make the notification available for
immediate inspection and copying on the premises at all times during business hours by the
Division or its designee, and shall retain the notification for the entire term of probation. Failure
to maintain the written notification as defined in the section, or to make the notification available
for immediate inspection and copying on the premises during business hours is a violation of
probation.

4. <u>MEDICAL EVALUATION AND TREATMENT</u> On a periodic basis during probation, as may be required by the Division or its designee, respondent shall undergo a medical evaluation by a Division-appointed physician who shall consider any information provided by the Division or designee, and any other information the evaluating physician deems relevant, and shall furnish a medical report to the Division or its designee.

Following the evaluation, respondent shall comply with all restrictions or conditions recommended by the evaluating physician within 15 calendar days after being notified

by the Division or its designee.

If respondent is required by the Division or its designee to undergo medical treatment, respondent shall, within 30 calendar days of the requirement notice, submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval the name and qualifications of a treating physician of respondent's choice. Upon approval of the treating physician, respondent shall within 15 calendar days undertake medical treatment and shall continue such treatment until further notice from the Division or its designee.

The treating physician shall consider any information provided by the Division or its designee or any other information the treating physician may deem pertinent prior to commencement of treatment. Respondent shall have the treating physician submit quarterly reports to the Division or its designee indicating whether or not the respondent is capable of practicing medicine safely. Respondent shall provide the Division or its designee with any and all medical records pertaining to treatment that the Division or its designee deems necessary.

If, prior to the completion of probation, respondent is found to be physically incapable of resuming the practice of medicine without restrictions, the Division shall retain continuing jurisdiction over respondent's license, and the period of probation shall be extended until the Division determines that respondent is physically capable of resuming the practice of medicine without restrictions. Respondent shall pay the cost of the medical evaluation(s) and treatment.

Failure to undergo and continue medical treatment or comply with the required additional conditions or restrictions is a violation of probation.

5. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar

insurance carrier.

1

7 8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or

- 6. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 7. OBEY ALL LAWS Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 8. QUARTERLY <u>DECLARATIONS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 9. PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

10. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, OR ITS DESIGNEE Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

11. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws and Probation Unit Compliance.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

12. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as

any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

17.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 13. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 14. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 15. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u> Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no

3

4

б

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

24

27 28

Mar 28 07 12:09p Ledergerber (510) 891-8708

15108451676 ∂7 12:23 FROM:ALBERT GARCIA

891 8708 TO:510 213 897 6325

F.015

ATTY GENERAL OFFICE .6-2007

> longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

PROBATION COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division. Such costs, which may be adjusted on an annual basis, shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Albert Garcia. I understand the supulation and the effect it will have on my Physician & Surgeon Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California.

Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Walter J. Ledergerber, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Scittlement and

TERY CEDERGERBER, M.D.

Disciplinary Ordey. I approve its form and content. 22

DATED: 23

ENDORSEMENT The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs. DATED: EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California PAUL C. AMENT Supervising Deputy Attorney General E. A. JONES

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

50151824.wpd

Exhibit A

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA 1 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORN of the State of California 2 E. A. JONES III, State Bar No. 71375 Deputy Attorney General 3 California Department of Justice 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 4 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 897-2543 5 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 BEFORE THE 8 DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. D1-1998-92607 12 WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D. 31 Morningwood FIRST AMENDED Laguna Niguel, CA 92677 13 ACCUSATION and PETITION TO REVOKE Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. A32530 14 **PROBATION** 15 Respondent. 16 17 18 Complainant alleges: 19 **PARTIES** 20 1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his official capacity as the Executive Director of the 21 Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs. 22 23 2. On or about July 1, 1978, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 32530 to Walter J. Ledergerber, M.D. 24 (Respondent). The certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein, 25 26 and will expire on June 30, 2006, unless renewed. 27 A disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against

Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., Case No. 04-1998-92607, was filed against Respondent. The

"(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a

certificate."

- 7. Section 2266 of the Code provides that the failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.
 - 8. Section 822 of the Code provides, in pertinent part:

"If a licensing agency determines that its licentiate's ability to practice his or her profession safely is impaired because the licentiate is mentally ill, or physically ill affecting competency, the licensing agency may take action by any one of the following methods:

- (a) Revoking the licentiate's certificate or license.
- (b) Suspending the licentiate's right to practice.
- (c) Placing the licentiate on probation.
- (d) Taking such other action in relation to the licentiate as the licensing agency in its discretion deems proper...."
- 9 Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 10. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the
 Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California,
 that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action,
 the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or
 invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or
 invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of
 probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the
 probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in

any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- 11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection (b), in that he committed acts of gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient A.M.¹ The circumstances are as follows:
- 12. On or about October 31, 1996, Respondent saw patient A.M., a female patient who was 27 years old at the time, for consideration of breast implants. Preoperatively, Respondent identified an asymmetry of the patient's chest wall and breasts consistent with a tubular breast deformity of the right breast. Respondent noted that the right breast was larger than the left. Respondent's consultation notes indicate that he recommended that the implants be placed submuscularly.
- 13. On or about December 2, 1996, Respondent performed a bilateral breast augmentation on patient A.M. During the procedure, Respondent placed a larger breast implant on the right (larger) breast than the implant he placed on the left breast. Respondent did no procedure to correct the abnormal shape of the right breast. Thereafter, due to poor results of this initial procedure, Respondent operated on the patient's breasts eight additional times in attempts to correct the asymmetry and to cure repeated seromas (accumulation of serum) on the right breast.
 - 14. Respondent failed to provide narrative operative summaries describing

^{1.} The names of patients are not included here to protect their privacy rights. Respondent will be provided with the names of all patients upon receipt of his written request for discovery.

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection

28

19.

25.

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection

- 26. On or about October 16, 1998, patient M.B., a male patient who was 59 at the time, consulted with Respondent and sought correction of impaired visual fields. On or about November 3, 1998, Respondent performed a forehead lift, facelift, and quadrilateral blephoroplasty on the patient. Respondent produced no narrative operative notes or summary for the procedure, which took approximately 12 hours to perform. On or about November 7, 1998, the patient's eyelids required support by taping.
- 27. On or about February 3, 1999, Respondent performed a revision surgery on patient M.B. to correct multiple problems resulting from the first operation. This revision was focused on the eyelids, earlobe, and chin. The patient consent form Respondent provided for the procedure calls the operation a "tweaking procedure." Respondent produced no narrative operative notes or summary for the procedure, which took approximately 8 hours to perform.
- 28. Respondent next saw patient M.B. on February 9, 1999, and taped his eyelids. The patient thereafter was instructed again regarding eyelid taping technique on March 10, 1999, as he continued to have eyelid support problems. On or about April 28, 1999, the patient saw another physician, Polly McKinstry, M.D., an opthamologist who diagnosed him with Sjogren's syndrome and recommended an eyelid elevating operation to address the continued downward displacement of the eyelids that the patient was experiencing.
- 29. On or about May 6, 1999, patient M.B. returned to Respondent to have the procedure Dr. McKinstry recommended be performed. Respondent's handwritten note of the operation indicates that the globes were in a "forward position" and that he intended to "shorten the lower eyelid." Respondent performed a procedure to shorten the lower lid. Although Respondent was to do a resuspension procedure of the left lower lid, his note indicates that only a scar revision was done at the lateral lower lid, not a cheek elevation or flap elevation of the lid such as was recommended by Dr. McKinstry. Respondent made no narrative operative note of the procedure. The consent form for the procedure indicates that Respondent was to perform a suspensary procedure, yet he apparently did not undertake such a procedure. Respondent claims

| 1 | that he does not perform | n suspensary operations. |
|----|--------------------------|---|
| 2 | 30. | Respondent also failed to note a scar revision that he subsequently |
| 3 | performed on patient N | I.B. anywhere in the patient's medical records. |
| 4 | 31. | Respondent committed acts of gross negligence in the care and treatment |
| 5 | of patient M.B. as follo | ows: |
| 6 | A . 1 | By failing to procure the patient's informed consent to the procedures he |
| 7 | performed; | |
| 8 | В. | By failing to produce operative reports that adequately and accurately |
| 9 | described the p | rocedures he performed; and |
| 10 | C. | By failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records reflecting the |
| 11 | care and treatm | ent he provided to the patient. |
| 12 | | SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 13 | | (Repeated Negligent Acts) |
| 14 | 32. | Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection |
| 15 | (c) of the Code in that | he committed repeated acts of negligence in the care and treatment of |
| 16 | patient M.B. The circ | cumstances are as follows: |
| 17 | 33. | Paragraphs 26 through 30 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in |
| 18 | full. | |
| 19 | 34. | Respondent committed repeated acts of negligence in the care and |
| 20 | treatment of patient M | I.B. as follows: |
| 21 | A. | By failing to procure the patient's informed consent to the procedures he |
| 22 | performed; | |
| 23 | В. | By failing to produce operative reports that adequately and accurately |
| 24 | described the | procedures he performed; and |
| 25 | C. | By failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records reflecting the |
| 26 | care and treat | ment he provided to the patient. |
| 27 | //// | |
| 28 | //// | |
| | | 2 |

| II. | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|
| 1 | SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 2 | (Incompetence) | | |
| 3 | 35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection | | |
| 4 | (d) of the Code in that he committed acts of incompetence in his care and treatment of patient | | |
| 5 | M.B. The circumstances are as follows: | | |
| 6 | 36. Paragraphs 26 through 30 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in | | |
| 7 | full. | | |
| 8 | 37. Respondent committed acts of incompetence in the care and | | |
| 9 | treatment of patient M.B. as follows: | | |
| 10 | A. By failing to procure the patient's informed consent to the procedures he | | |
| 11 | performed; | | |
| 12 | B. By failing to produce operative reports that adequately and accurately | | |
| 13 | described the procedures he performed; and | | |
| 14 | C. By failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records reflecting the | | |
| 15 | care and treatment he provided to the patient. | | |
| 16 | EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 17 | (Unprofessional Conduct Record Keeping) | | |
| 18 | 38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the | | |
| 19 | Code in that he committed unprofessional conduct by failing to maintain adequate and accurate | | |
| 20 | records relating to the provision of services in his care and treatment of patient M.B. The | | |
| 21 | circumstances are as follows: | | |
| 22 | 39. Paragraphs 26 through 30 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in | | |
| 23 | full. | | |
| 24 | 40. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct regarding his record- | | |
| 25 | keeping in the care and treatment of patient M.B. as follows: | | |
| 26 | A. By failing to produce operative reports that adequately and accurately | | |
| 23 | described the procedures he performed; and | | |
| | | | |

By failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records reflecting the

28

В.

7

10

12

11

13 14

15

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection 41. (b), in that he committed acts of gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient P.K. The circumstances are as follows:
- 42. On or about December 15, 1996, patient P.K., a female patient who was 45 years old at the time, underwent an extended facelift (face and forehead), chin implant, and blephoroplasty, all of which was performed by Respondent. A KTP vascular laser treatment on the patient's face was also scheduled and paid for, but this procedure was not performed. Respondent did not produce any narrative operative notes for the procedure.
- 43. On or about March 10, 2000, Respondent performed a retroauricular scar revision of the right retroauricular scar and neck of patient P.K. No anesthesia was used, and the patient experienced extreme pain, discomfort, and psychological trauma both during and after the procedure. Following the procedure and during the immediate post-operative period, patient P.K. made numerous attempts to contact Respondent regarding her condition, but Respondent failed to respond to any of the patient's communications. Respondent did not produce any narrative operative notes for the procedure, nor did he produce any progress notes regarding the patient's post-operative condition.
- 44. Respondent committed acts of gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient P.K. as follows:
 - A. By failing to produce any narrative operative notes for the procedures he performed;
 - B. By failing to produce any progress notes regarding the patient's postoperative condition; and
 - C. By abandoning the patient in the post-operative stage of her treatment and care.

| 1 | TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
|----|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| 2 | (Repeated Negligent Acts) | | | |
| 3 | 45. | Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection | | |
| 4 | (c), in that he commi | tted repeated acts of negligence in the care and treatment of patient P.K. | | |
| 5 | The circumstances ar | e as follows: | | |
| 6 | 46. | Paragraphs 42 and 43 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full. | | |
| 7 | 46. | Respondent committed repeated acts of negligence as follows: | | |
| 8 | A. | By failing to produce any narrative operative notes for the procedures he | | |
| 9 | performed; | | | |
| 10 | В. | By failing to produce any progress notes regarding the patient's post- | | |
| 11 | operative con | dition; | | |
| 12 | C. | By abandoning the patient in the post-operative stage of her treatment and | | |
| 13 | care; and | | | |
| 14 | D. | By failing to use satisfactory anesthesia on the patient during the March | | |
| 15 | 10, 2000 pro | cedure. | | |
| 16 | | ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | |
| 17 | | (Incompetence) | | |
| 18 | 48. | Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection | | |
| 19 | (d) of the Code in th | at he committed acts of incompetence in his care and treatment of patient | | |
| 20 | P.K The circumsta | inces are as follows: | | |
| 21 | 49. | Paragraphs 42 and 43 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full. | | |
| 22 | 50. | Respondent committed acts of incompetence in the care and | | |
| 23 | treatment of patient | P.K. as follows: | | |
| 24 | A. | By failing to produce any narrative operative notes for the procedures he | | |
| 25 | performed; | | | |
| 26 | B. | By failing to produce any progress notes regarding the patient's post- | | |
| 27 | operative condition; | | | |
| 28 | C. | By abandoning the patient in the post-operative stage of her treatment and | | |

| tl. | | | | |
|-----|--|--|--|--|
| 1 | implant on the right side and the intended right implant on the left side. | | | |
| 2 | FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
| 3 | (Incompetence) | | | |
| 4 | 62. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subsection | | | |
| 5 | (d) of the Code in that he committed acts of incompetence in his care and treatment of patient | | | |
| 6 | E.S. The circumstances are as follows: | | | |
| 7 | 63. Paragraphs 55 through 57 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in | | | |
| 8 | full. | | | |
| 9 | 64. Respondent committed acts of incompetence in the care and | | | |
| 10 | treatment of patient E.S. as follows: | | | |
| 11 | A. On or about November 20, 2001, respondent ignored the patient's express | | | |
| 12 | desire for smaller breast size bilaterally; | | | |
| 13 | B. On or about December 12, 2001, respondent ignored the patient's express | | | |
| 14 | desire for a smaller breast size bilaterally; and | | | |
| 15 | C. On or about December 12, 2001, respondent placed the intended left | | | |
| 16 | implant on the right side and the intended right implant on the left side. | | | |
| 17 | SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE | | | |
| 18 | (Unprofessional Conduct Record Keeping) | | | |
| 19 | 65. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the | | | |
| 20 | Code in that he committed unprofessional conduct by failing to maintain adequate and accurate | | | |
| 21 | records relating to the provision of services in his care and treatment of patient E.S. The | | | |
| 22 | circumstances are as follows: | | | |
| 23 | 66. Paragraphs 55 through 57 are incorporated by reference as if set forth in | | | |
| 24 | full. | | | |
| 25 | 67. Respondent committed unprofessional conduct regarding his record- | | | |
| 26 | keeping in the care and treatment of patient E.S. by failing to adequately and accurately record | | | |
| 27 | the circumstances of pre-operative office visits on or about September 19, 2001, and in or | | | |
| 28 | around December 2001 and by failing to adequately and accurately record post-operative | | | |
| | " | | | |

| i | |
|----|--|
| 1 | circumstances on and after December 12, 2001. |
| 2 | SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 3 | (Impaired Ability to Practice Medicine Because of Physical Illness) |
| 4 | 68. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 822 of the Code |
| 5 | in that his ability to practice medicine is impaired because he is physically ill. The circumstances |
| 6 | are as follows: |
| 7 | A. In or around May 2004, respondent suffered a cerebral vascular |
| 8 | accident (stroke). As a consequence of the stroke, respondent's ability to practice |
| 9 | medicine safely is impaired. |
| 10 | EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE |
| 11 | (Incompetence) |
| 12 | 69. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 2234, subdivision (d), |
| 13 | of the Code because of his incompetence. The facts and circumstances are set forth in paragraph |
| 14 | 68 above, which facts and circumstances are incorporated by this reference as if fully set forth |
| 15 | here. |
| 16 | CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION |
| 17 | (Failure to Obey Laws) |
| 18 | 70. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition |
| 19 | C stated: |
| 20 | "Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of |
| 21 | medicine in California, and remain n full compliance with any court ordered criminal |
| 22 | probation, payments and or any other judicial orders." |
| 23 | 71. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to |
| 24 | comply with Probation Condition C, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding |
| 25 | this violation are as follows: |
| 26 | A. Respondent committed violations of Business and Professions |
| 27 | Code sections 2234, subdivisions (b), (c) and (d) and 2266 as more specifically set forth |
| 28 | in paragraphs 54 through 67 above |

DISCIPLINARY CONSIDERATIONS

| 72. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on |
|--|
| Respondent, Complainant alleges that on September 20, 1999, an accusation in the case entitled |
| In the Matter of the Accusation Against Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., Case No. 04-1998- |
| 92607, was filed against Respondent, alleging, among other things, that Respondent had engaged |
| in gross negligence in violation of section 2234, subsection (b) of the Code; repeated negligent |
| acts in violation of section 2234, subsection (c) of the Code; insurance fraud in violation of |
| section 810 of the Code; and committed acts of dishonesty or corruption in violation of section |
| 2234, subsection (e) of the Code. On May 19, 2000, the parties executed a Stipulated Settlement |
| and Disciplinary Order in the case which revoked Respondent's Physician and Surgeon License. |
| The revocation, however, was stayed, and the certificate was placed on probation with attendant |
| terms and conditions for a period of two years. The Division adopted the Stipulated Settlement |
| and Disciplinary Order. The Decision became effective on July 17, 2000, and is final. |

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon Certificate number A32530, issued to WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case Number D1-1998-92607 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A32530, issued to WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D.
- 3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of WALTER J.

 LEDERGERBER, M.D. 's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- 4. Ordering WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical Quality the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;

3 |

2.6

5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper. March 9, 2005 DATED: **Executive Director** Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California Complainant 50021975.wpd

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusati Against: | on |) | |
|--|-------------|-------------|--------------------|
| WALTER J. LEDERGE Certificate No. A-32530 | CRBER, M.D. |))) | No.: 04-1998-92607 |
| | Respondent. |) | |

ORDER CORRECTING CLERICAL ERROR IN "EFFECTIVE DATE" PORTION OF DECISION

On its own motion, the Division of Medical Quality (hereafter "division") finds that there is a clerical error in the "effective date" portion of the Decision in the above-entitled matter and that such clerical error should be corrected so that the effective date will confirm to the division's intention.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the effective date contained in the Decision in the aboveentitled matter be and is hereby amended and corrected nunc pro tunc as of the date of entry of the decision to read as follows:

"This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on July 17, 2000."

IT IS SO ORDERED: June 15, 2000.

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Augustian BOARD OF CAUFORN

I do hereby certify that this document is true and correct copy of the original on file in this

SIGNED

- DATE

BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against: |)) | |
|---|-------------|-------------------|
| WALTER J. LEDERGERBER, M.D. Certificate No. A-32530 |) | No: 04-1998-92607 |
| |) | |
| Responder | <u>nt</u>) | |

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Division of Medical Quality as its Decision in the above-entitled matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on <u>June 15, 2000</u>

IT IS SO ORDERED <u>July 17, 2000</u>

By

IRA LUBELL, M.D.

President

Division of Medical Quality

| • | | | |
|------|---|---------------------------|--|
| • | | | |
| 1 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California | | |
| 2 | D. KENNETH BAUMGARTEN | | |
| 3 | Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 124371 | | |
| 4 | California Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 | | |
| 5 | Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92816-5266 | · | |
| 6 | Telephone: (619) 645-2195 | | |
| 7 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE | 1 | |
| 9 | DIVISION OF MEDICAL MEDICAL BOARD OF C DEPARTMENT OF CONSU | ALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | STATE OF CALIFO | | |
| 11 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | CASE NO. 04-1998-92607 | |
| 12 | WALTER JOSEPH LEDERGERBER, M.D. | OAH NO. L-1999120407 | |
| 13 | 1401 Avocado Street, Suite 202 Newport Beach, CA 92660 | STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND | |
| .14 | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32530 | DISCIPLINARY ORDER | |
| 15 | |)) | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | |
| 17 | | | |
| 18 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to | | |
| 19 | the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: | | |
| . 20 | 1. Accusation number 04-1998-92607 was filed with the Division of Medical | | |
| 21 | Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, hereinafter the | | |
| 22 | "Division", on September 20, 1999, and is currently pending against Walter Joseph | | |
| 23 | Ledergerber, M.D., hereinafter the "Respondent". | | |
| 2 | 2. The Accusation, together with all statutorily required document | | |
| 2 | duly served on Respondent on or about September 20, 1999. On October 28, 1999, | | |
| 2 | Respondent filed his Notice of Defense. A copy of Accusation No.04-1998-92607 is attached | | |
| 2 | hereto as Exhibit A and is hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. | | |
| 2 | 8 /// | | |

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- Respondent and his attorney have fully read and discussed the charges contained in Accusation No.04-1998-92607. Respondent hereby acknowledges that he has been fully advised of his legal rights and the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and
- Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the 7. Accusation and that, if proven at a hearing, the charges and allegations would constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon's Certificate. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges contained in the Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against him, his right to the use of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents in both defense and mitigation of the charges, his right to reconsideration, court review and any and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
 - 8. Respondent knowingly, voluntarily and irrevocably waives and gives up each of these rights.
 - 9. The parties further agree that the admissions and recitals stated herein are for the purpose of this proceeding only, or in any other proceeding between Respondent and the Board or between Respondent and any other licensing and/or regulatory agency.
 - 10. For the purpose of resolving Accusation No. 04-1998-92607, Respondent agrees there exists a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that Respondent

hereby gives up his right to contest those charges. Respondent agrees to be bound by the Division's Disciplinary Order set forth below.

Based on the foregoing admissions and stipulated matters, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following order:

10. <u>DISCIPLINARY ORDER</u>

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon's Certificate number A 32530, issued to Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., is revoked. However, such revocation is hereby stayed by the Division and Respondent is placed on two (2) years probation. During the term of probation, Respondent shall comply with the Division's Probation Surveillance Program and the following terms and conditions:

A. ETHICS COURSE

Within ninety (90) days of the Stipulation Effective Date, Respondent shall enroll in an Ethics course approved in advance by the Division or its designee, and shall successfully complete the course during the first year of probation.

B. RESTITUTION TO INSURANCE COMPANY

Within ninety (90) days of the Stipulation Effective Date, Respondent shall pay the sum of \$6,485.00 to Great West Life and Annuity Company as restitution for payments made to Respondent on behalf of patient Victoria B. The restitution payment shall be made to Great West Health Plan, Attention Ms. Heather Murrell, Legal Analyst, Recovery Unit, 8515 East Orchard Road, Englewood, Colorado, 80111.

C. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and or any other judicial orders.

D. PROBATION SURVEILLANCE PROGRAM COMPLIANCE

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of his business and residence

addresses which shall both serve as addresses of record. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record.

Respondent shall also immediately inform the Division, in writing, of any travel to areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) days.

QUARTERLY REPORTS E.

Respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee, on forms provided by the Division, quarterly declarations signed under penalty of perjury, stating whether he has complied with all conditions of his probation with the Medical Board of California and court-ordered criminal probation, if any.

INTERVIEWS WITH THE DIVISION, ITS DESIGNEE F. OR ITS DESIGNATED PHYSICIAN(S)

Respondent shall appear in person for interviews with the Division, its designee or its designated physician(s) upon request at various intervals and with reasonable notice.

TOLLING FOR OUT-OF-STATE PRACTICE, RESIDENCE G. IN-STATE NON-PRACTICE

In the event respondent should leave California to reside or to practice outside the State or for any reason should respondent stop practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within ten (10) days of the dates of departure and return or the dates of non-practice within California. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty (30) consecutive days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent by Respondent in an intensive training program approved by the Division or its designee, or time spent seeking employment that complies with the terms and conditions of this agreement, shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California or of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary period.

H. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

1.4

Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

I. VIOLATION OF PROBATION

Respondent's violation of any term or provision under this agreement, or any term or condition imposed by the Division's Probation and Diversion Programs, will constitute a violation of probation.

If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

J. COST RECOVERY

Respondent shall reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs in the amount of \$4,000.00. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California, Division of Medical Quality and are due within one hundred and eighty (180) days of the Stipulation Effective Date.

Failure to pay this cost recovery shall constitute a violation of probation. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve him of the responsibility to reimburse the Division for its investigative and prosecution costs in this action.

K. PROBATION COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, which are currently set at approximately \$2304.00. These costs may be adjusted by the Division on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Division of Medical Quality and delivered to the designated probation surveillance monitor at the

beginning of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 days of the due date shall constitute a violation of probation.

L. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this decision, if Respondent ceases the practice of medicine due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may voluntarily tender his license certificate to the Board. The Division reserves the right to evaluate the Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the tendered license, Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation.

CONTINGENCY

This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that Board staff and counsel for Complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel.

If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Order, the stipulation shall be of no force or effect, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.

ACCEPTANCE

I have read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters contained therein with my attorney, Albert J. Garcia, Esq. I understand the effect this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 111

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

111

26 111

27 111

| 1 | Order will have on my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate, and agree to be bound thereby. | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 2 | I enter this stipulation freely, knowingly, intelligently and voluntarily. | | |
| 3 | DATED: 5/19/00 | | |
| 4 | U. Kan | | |
| 6 | WALTER JOSEPH LEDERGERBER, M.D. Respondent | | |
| 7 | I have read and have fully discussed the terms and conditions and other matters | | |
| 8. | contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order with Respondent | | |
| 9 | Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., and approve of its form and content. | | |
| 10 | DATED: 5/17/00 | | |
| 11 12 | ALBERT J. GARCIA, Esq. | | |
| 13 | Attorney for Respondent | | |
| 14 | ENDORSEMENT | | |
| 15 | The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby | | |
| 16 | respectfully submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of | | |
| 17 | California, Department of Consumer Affairs. | | |
| 1.8 | DATED: (144) 1, 2000 | | |
| 1.9 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General | | |
| 2 | λ | | |
| 2 | D KUNNETH BAUMGARTEN | | |
| 2 | D. KENTOTTI BROWN | | |
| 2 | 3 | | |
| 2 | 4 Exhibit A: Accusation No. 04-1998-92607 | | |
| 2 | .5 | | |
| 2 | 26 | | |
| 2 | 27 | | |

EXHIBIT A

FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA of the State of California SACRAMENTO Sotember 2019 SAMUEL K. HAMMOND, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 141135BY Houla of Mosky Department of Justice 3 110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2083 5 6 Attorneys for Complainant 7 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY 9 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 In the Matter of the Accusation NO. 04-1998-92607 12 Against: WALTER JOSEPH LEDERGERBER M.D. 13 ACCUSATION 1401 Avocado Street, Suite 202 Newport Beach, California 92660 14 15 Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32530 16 Respondent. 17 18 Complainant Ron Joseph, as cause for disciplinary 19 20 action, alleges: 21 **PARTIES** 22 Complainant is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Board") and makes and files this 23 accusation solely in his official capacity. 24 25 License Status

_ _ .

26

2. On or about July 1, 1978, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 32530 was issued by the Board to

Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D. ("respondent"), and at all times relevant herein, said certificate was, and currently is, in full force and effect. This certificate is valid with an expiration date of June 30, 2000.

JURISDICTION

3. This accusation is made with reference to the

- 3. This accusation is made with reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code ("Code"):
 - A. Code section 2227 provides as relevant hereto that the Division of Medical Quality of the Board ("Division") may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation and order the payment of probation monitoring costs, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
 - B. Code section 2234 provides as relevant hereto that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
 - "(c) Repeated negligent acts

 - "(e) The commission of any act of dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the

27 | ///

2.0

qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon."

C. Code section 810(a) provides as relevant hereto that it shall constitute unprofessional conduct for a health care professional to do any of the following:

- "(1) Knowingly present or cause to be presented any false or fraudulent claims for payment of loss under a contract of insurance.
- "(2) Knowingly prepare, make, or subscribe any writing, with intent to present or use the same, or allow it to be presented or used in support of any false or fraudulent claim."
- D. Code section 125.3 provides as relevant hereto that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 4. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions
 Code provides, as relevant hereto, that upon receipt of written
 notice from the Board that a licensee's license has been placed
 on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the Department
 of Health Services (department) of the State of California may
 not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service
 or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation that was
 performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of
 probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and

conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the Board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim for services. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

(Gross Negligence)

5. Respondent Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., is subject to disciplinary action on account of the following:

Patient V.B.

A. On or about July 7, 1998, this patient went to respondent's offices located at 1401 Avocado,

Newport Beach, California, for consultation on her existing chin implant. The chin implant, placed by another surgeon in about 1990, had become displaced. During the consultation, respondent told the patient he would replace the implant with a smaller one. During the consultation, respondent examined the "dip" in the bridge of the patient's nose. Respondent told the patient the "dip" could be fixed by inserting a small implant into the bridge of her nose. Respondent also said the patient would realize an added benefit of improved breathing from this procedure.

26 ///

27 1///

B. The patient agreed to undergo surgery to replace the chin implant and to fix the bridge in her nose. The surgery was scheduled for August 17, 1998. The patient told respondent she suffered from tachycardia and was in the care of a cardiologist. Respondent told the patient and a physician friend of the patient that he was a certified plastic surgeon. The patient paid approximately \$4,700 for the surgery to replace the chin implant and to fix the bridge.

- C. On or about August 17, 1998, the patient presented for the planned surgical procedures. Toward the end of the first procedure (to fix the "dip" in the bridge of the patient's nose), the patient went into superventricular tachycardia. Respondent aborted the operation and transferred the patient to the care of her cardiologist. On or about August 26, 1998, respondent performed the procedure to replace the chin implant.
- D. On or about September 8, 1998, respondent submitted a bill to the patient's insurance company for payment for the surgical procedures. On the claim form, respondent stated he performed two major surgical procedures on the patient on August 17, 1998 and August 26, 1998.

 Respondent stated he performed an open treatment of the nasal fracture and septal fracture on the patient on August 17, 1998. He identified 802.0 as the Diagnosis Code and 21335 as the CPT (Current Procedural Terminology) Code. This statement is false.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

///

///:

///

///

25

26

27

- committed acts or omissions constituting gross negligence, in violation of Code section 2234(b), in that:

 A. Complainant realleges all matters are section.
 - A. Complainant realleges all matters set forth in Paragraph 5, above.
 - B. Respondent submitted an insurance claim in which he contained the false statement that on August 17, 1998, respondent performed an open treatment of the nasal fracture and septal fracture on the patient.
 - C. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed reconstruction of the patient's mandible.
 - D. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed a muscle, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap on the patient.

~

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

2.2

, 24

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

- 7. Respondent Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., has committed acts or omissions constituting repeated negligent acts, in violation of Code section 2234(c), in that:
 - A. Complainant realleges all matters set forth in Paragraph 5, above.
 - B. Respondent submitted an insurance claim in which contained the false statement that on August 17, 1998, respondent performed an open treatment of the nasal fracture and septal fracture on the patient.
 - C. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed reconstruction of the patient's mandible.
 - D. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed a muscle, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap on the patient.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

(Insurance Fraud)

- 8. Respondent Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., has committed acts or omissions constituting insurance fraud in violation of Code section 810, in that:
 - A. Complainant realleges all matters set forth in Paragraph 6, above.
 - B. Respondent submitted an insurance claim in which contained the false statement that on August 17, 1998,

respondent performed an open treatment of the nasal fracture and septal fracture on the patient.

- C. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed reconstruction of the patient's mandible.
- D. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed a muscle, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap on the patient.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINARY ACTION

- 9. Respondent Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D., has committed acts involving dishonesty or corruption which are substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of the physician and surgeon, in violation of Code section 2234(e), in that:
 - A. Complainant realleges all matters set forth in Paragraph 5, above.
 - B. Respondent submitted an insurance claim in which contained the false statement that on August 17, 1998, respondent performed an open treatment of the nasal fracture and septal fracture on the patient.
 - C. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998, he performed reconstruction of the patient's mandible.
 - D. Respondent submitted an insurance claim which contained the false statement that on August 26, 1998,

27 | ///

| 3 | ۱ |
|----------|----|
| 4 5 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 1,1 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 17 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | } |
| 19 |) |
| 20 |) |
| 22 | L |
| 22 | 2 |
| 23 | 3 |
| 2 | 4 |
| 2 | 5 |
| 2 | 6 |
| ^ | -, |

2

he performed a muscle, myocutaneous or fasciocutaneous flap on the patient.

E. Respondent falsely stated to patient V.B. and her physician friend, that he was a certified plastic surgeon.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 32530, heretofore issued to Walter Joseph Ledergerber, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of respondent's authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- Ordering respondent to pay the Board the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and
- 4. Taking such other and further action as the Division deems necessary and proper.

DATED: September 20, 1999

Ron Joseph

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant